<u>Series 2</u> No. 128



Vital and Health Statistics

From the CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION / National Center for Health Statistics

Quality of Death Rates by Race and Hispanic Origin: A Summary of Current Research, 1999

September 1999



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Health Statistics



Copyright Information

All material appearing in this report is in the public domain and may be reproduced or copied without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

Suggested citation

Rosenberg HM, Maurer JD, Sorlie PD, Johnson NJ, et al. Quality of death rates by race and Hispanic origin: A summary of current research, 1999. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(128). 1999.

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number

Quality of death rates by race and Hispanic-origin. p. cm. - (Vital and health statistics. Series 2, Data evaluation and methods research; no. 128) (DHHS publication ; no. (PHS) 99-1328) "[Authors] Harry M. Rosenberg ... [et al.]"—P. 'September 1999. "This report provides a summary of current knowledge and research on the quality and reliability of death rates by race and Hispanic origin published in official mortality statistics of the United States by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) and other agencies.' Includes bibliographical references. ISBN 0-8406-0559-5 1. Minorities-United States-Mortality-Statistical methods. 2. Mortality and race-United States-Statistical methods. I. Rosenberg, Harry Micheal. II. National Center for Health Statistics (U.S.) III. Series. IV. Series: DHHS publication ; no. (PHS) 99-1328. HB1335.Q83 1999 304.6'4'08900973-dc21 99-41288 For sale by the U.S. Government Printing Office

CIP

Superintendent of Documents Mail Stop: SSOP Washington, DC 20402-9328 Printed on acid-free paper.

Vital and Health Statistics

Quality of Death Rates by Race and Hispanic Origin: A Summary of Current Research, 1999

Series 2: Data Evaluation and Methods Research No. 128

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Health Statistics

Hyattsville, Maryland September 1999 DHHS Publication No. (PHS) 99-1328

National Center for Health Statistics

Edward J. Sondik, Ph.D., Director

Jack R. Anderson, Deputy Director

Jack R. Anderson, Acting Associate Director for International Statistics

Lester R. Curtin, Ph.D., Acting Associate Director for Research and Methodology

Jennifer H. Madans, Ph.D., Acting Associate Director for Analysis, Epidemiology, and Health Promotion

P. Douglas Williams, Acting Associate Director for Data Standards, Program Development, and Extramural Programs

Edward L. Hunter, Associate Director for Planning, Budget, and Legislation

Jennifer H. Madans, Ph.D., Acting Associate Director for Vital and Health Statistics Systems

Douglas L. Zinn, Acting Associate Director for Management

Charles J. Rothwell, Associate Director for Data Processing and Services

Division of Vital Statistics

Mary Anne Freedman, Director

James A. Weed, Ph.D., Deputy Director

Harry M. Rosenberg, Ph.D., Chief, Mortality Statistics Branch

Contents

Abstract		1
Introduction		
Methods . Death Certificate Items on Race and Hispanic Origin. Census Items on Race and Hispanic Origin. Data Presentation.		2 2
Results . Data Quality for Deaths . Results for Race, All Ages . Results for Hispanic Origin, All Ages . Results for Infants . Results for Race, Age, and Sex . Data Quality for Population . Consequences for Rates .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 4 5 5 6 6
Discussion and Conclusions		8
References		9
Text Table		
A. Reported and adjusted death rates and percent differences: 1996		8
Text Figures		
1. Certificate of death		3

1.		5
2.	Ratio of Current Population Survey deaths to death certificate deaths by race and origin: United States, 1979–89	5
3.	Ratio of linked to traditional infant mortality rates by race and Hispanic origin: United States, 1995–96	6
4.	Ratio of census-level population to population adjusted for net census undercount by race and Hispanic origin,	
	1990	7

Detailed Tables

1.	Percent agreement between number of deaths from death certificates and from census and Current Population Survey files, by race and Hispanic origin, and ratio of number of deaths: 1960 census and the National Longitudinal Mortality	
	Study	11
2.	Infant mortality rates from the traditional and linked files, and ratios of the rates, by race: United States, 1995–96	11
3.	Infant mortality rates from the traditional and linked files, and ratios of the rates, by Hispanic origin: United States,	
	1996	11
4.	Ratio of deaths from Current Population Survey to deaths from death certificate by age, race, and sex, and number of deaths from the 2 sources: United States, 1979–89	12
5.	Ratio of census-level resident population to resident population adjusted for estimated net census undercount, by age, sex, and race: April 1, 1990	13
6.	Reported age-adjusted death rates and rates adjusted for reporting bias and undercoverage, by race and Hispanic origin: United States, 1996	13

Abstract

Objectives

This report provides a summary of current knowledge and research on the quality and reliability of death rates by race and Hispanic origin in official mortality statistics of the United States produced by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). It also provides a quantitative assessment of bias in death rates by race and Hispanic origin. It identifies areas for targeted research.

Methods

Death rates are based on information on deaths (numerators of the rates) from death certificates filed in the states and compiled into a national database by NCHS, and on population data (denominators) from the Census Bureau. Selected studies of race/Hispanic-origin misclassification and under coverage are summarized on deaths and population. Estimates are made of the separate and the joint bias on death rates by race and Hispanic origin from the two sources. Simplifying assumptions are made about the stability of the biases over time and among age groups. Original results are presented using an expanded and updated database from the National Longitudinal Mortality Study.

Results

While biases in the numerator and denominator tend to offset each other somewhat, death rates for all groups show net effects of race misclassification and under coverage. For the white population and the black population, published death rates are overstated in official publications by an estimated 1.0 percent and 5.0 percent, respectively, resulting principally from undercounts of these population groups in the census. Death rates for the other minority groups are understated in official publications approximately as follows: American Indians, 21 percent; Asian or Pacific Islanders, 11 percent; and Hispanics, 2 percent. These estimates do not take into account differential misreporting of age among the race/ethnic groups.

Keywords: death rates • race and ethnicity • evaluation • data quality

Quality of Death Rates by Race and Hispanic Origin: A Summary of Current Research, 1999

by Harry M. Rosenberg, Jeffrey D. Maurer, National Center for Health Statistics; Paul D. Sorlie, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; Norman J. Johnson, Bureau of the Census; Marian F. MacDorman, Donna L. Hoyert, National Center for Health Statistics; James F. Spitler, PricewaterhouseCoopers; and Chester Scott, National Center for Health Statistics

Introduction

This report provides a summary of current knowledge and research on the quality and reliability of death rates by race and Hispanic origin published in official mortality statistics of the United States by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) and other agencies. Presentation of race data in NCHS compilations such as Health, United States and Vital Statistics of the United States includes qualifications and notes on the reliability of the data (1,2). However, in view of the importance of these statistics in efforts to measure and redress race disparities in health, this report summarizes what we know and do not know about the quality, validity, and reliability of these data. As such, it serves as an introduction to issues of data quality for mortality statistics by race and ethnic origin produced by NCHS. Additional studies-expanding on these topics-are being undertaken.

Measuring Race Differentials in Mortality

Death rates from the National Vital Statistics System are key indicators of the health of the U.S. population as a whole and of specific race and ethnic groups. Race-specific mortality data identify health disparities, such as:

- A black infant is more than twice as likely as a white infant to die in the first year of life.
- Death rates for most causes of death are much higher for black persons than white persons.
- Black people are more than 40 percent as likely to die in any year as white people.
- Black life expectancy is lower than white life expectancy by more than 6 years (3).

Death rates by race and Hispanic origin are widely used, for example, to set health targets at the national and State levels in *Healthy People* (4) and to

This is to acknowledge helpful suggestions from Mary Anne Freedman, Jennifer H. Madans, Diane M. Makuc, Elsie R. Pamuk, and James A. Weed—of the National Center for Health Statistics, and from Howard R. Hogan and Jeffrey E. Kallan of the Bureau of the Census. The report was edited by Thelma W. Sanders and typeset by Jacqueline M. Davis of the Publications Branch.

identify disparities in health outcomes (5). Mortality data by race appear regularly in the annual health report to the Congress, *Health, United States* (1), and in vital statistics publications (2).

Death rates are based on two sources of information: death certificate information from the vital statistics system (the numerator of rates) and population data from the Bureau of the Census (the denominator of the rates). Each of these sources is subject to bias and error resulting from problems of reporting, classification, and coverage. Evaluation studies over many years have demonstrated that death rates for black and white persons are sound and relatively accurate. In contrast, mortality statistics for other race and ethnic groups are far less accurate. This report summarizes what is known about the quality of race and ethnicity data for the two sources, discusses the implications of these evaluations, and identifies a number of areas where important gaps in knowledge need to be addressed through research and evaluation.

Methods

This summary is based on a review of the literature on the quality and completeness of race and Hispanic-origin reporting on death certificates and on censuses focusing on misclassification and undercoverage. The review is not exhaustive; it covers only readily available and generally current work, limiting itself to the variables of race and Hispanic origin to the exclusion of other variables known to affect the quality, validity, and reliability of death rates. Excluded in the review is the completeness and reliability of age reporting, which is known to vary among race/ethnic groups, and, accordingly, to differentially affect death rates. This study brings together estimates of bias in the numerator and denominator of death rates, thereby providing a general quantitative indication of the joint impact of race and Hispanic origin misreporting and undercoverage. Simplifying assumptions are made as regards reporting bias over time and among age groups. Also

included are original analyses of data from the National Longitudinal Study bringing up to date results previously reported, which were based on a more limited number of years and a smaller database.

Death Certificate Items on Race and Hispanic Origin

Data on mortality (the numerator of death rates) in the United States is based on information on certificates filed in State vital statistics offices (2). Demographic information on the certificates, such as age, race, ethnicity, sex, educational attainment, marital status, occupation, and industry, is recorded by the funeral director based on information provided by an informant, usually a family member, or-in the absence of an informant-based on observation. Cause of death is provided by the attending physician, medical examiner, or coroner. A copy of the current U.S. Standard Certificate of Death, recommended by NCHS for use by the States, is shown in figure 1. Most State certificates closely follow this model. The race item on the U.S. Standard Certificate, item 15, is as follows:

RACE—American Indian, Black, White, etc. (Specify). Sometimes a single race is entered; sometimes, multiple races. When more than one race is entered, the first-mentioned race is recorded in the data files.

Mortality statistics for the Hispanic-origin population are based on information collected on death certificates using one of two basic formats recommended by NCHS. The first format, which is the one that appears on the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death (item 14), is directed specifically toward obtaining information on Hispanic origin.

HISPANIC ORIGIN—Was decedent of Hispanic Origin? (Specify No or Yes—If Yes, specify Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, etc.) _____ No ____ Yes. Specify:

The second format is a more general ancestry item, as follows:

ANCESTRY—Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, African, English, Irish, German, Hmong, etc. (Specify):

Census Items on Race and Hispanic Origin

Information on the population by race and Hispanic origin (the denominator of death rates) is produced by the U.S. Bureau of the Census based on the information reported in the respective decennial censuses of population and based on demographic estimates for years after or between censuses (6). The census questions ask for the race and Hispanic origin of respondents, who may self-report or respond for others in the household. For the 1990 census, not-stated race was allocated using imputation procedures for individual records. No adjustments were made for persons who were not counted in the census, and similarly. population estimates for years after the census are not adjusted to account for persons who were not enumerated. For the 1990 census, certain adjustments were made for problems in age reporting (6).

Data Presentation

Mortality statistics, as frequencies or as rates, are tabulated separately by race and Hispanic origin. The broad race categories are white, black, American Indian (including Aleuts and Eskimos), and Asian or Pacific Islanders. The category "Asian or Pacific Islander" can be disaggregated into the more specific race groups of Chinese, Hawaiian, Japanese, Filipino, and Other Asian or Pacific Islander. For selected States, race data are available in greater detail for certain Asian subgroups (7). The American Indian category includes American Indian, Alaska Native, Eskimo, and Aleut. If multiple races are reported, the first-mentioned is coded, with the exception that if the race entry indicates a mixture of Hawaiian and any other race, the entry is coded as Hawaiian.

The Hispanic-origin population may be classified into specific subgroups: Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban,

1N	LOCAL FILE				· ·		J.S. STAN ICATE			J				
PERMANENT BLACK INK	1. DECEDENT'S N		Idla Last				ICATE	UF	DEATE	1		ATE FILE NU		
FOR INSTRUCTIONS			·		PL 11177					т				OF DEATH (Month, Day,
SEE OTHER SIDE AND HANDBOOK	4. SOCIAL SECUR		(Years)		5b. UNDER	Days	Hours	i	nutes	Day, Y			Foreigr	PLACE (City and State of Country)
DECEDENT	 WAS DECEDEN ARMED FORCE 		HOSPITAL				LACE OF DE	LOT	IEB.					
	(Yes or no)			L_ Inpatie		Outpatient/				ursing Hom			Other	
	9b. FACILITY NAI		ution, give st	reet and nun	nber)		3		r, TOWN, C	OR LOCATIC	JN OF DEA	·H		9d. COUNTY OF DE
or institution INSTRUCTIONS OTHER SIDE	10. MARITAL STA Never Married Divorced <i>(Spe</i>	, Widowed,	11. SURVIV (If wife, give	/ING SPOUS e maiden nan		(G	a. DECEDE Give kind of o <u>not</u> use re	work do			rking life.	12b. KIN	ND OF BU	SINESS/INDUSTRY
	13a. RESIDENCE	STATE 136	COUNTY		13c. CITY,	TOWN, OF	R LOCATIO	N		13d. ST	REET AND	NUMBER		
SEE INSTRUCTIO	13e. INSIDE CITY LIMITS? (Yes or no)	13f. ZIP CODI	E '	(Specify	CEDENT OF H No or Yes-If Puerto Rican	fyes, spec	ify Cuban,	Yes		-American , White, etc ify)			ecify only	EDENT'S EDUCATION highest grade completed ary (0-12) College (1-4
PARENTS	17. FATHER'S NA	AME <i>(First,Midd</i>	le,Last)	opecny.				18. N	OTHER'S	NAME (First	,Middle,Ma	iden Surna	ime)	
	19a. INFORMANT	'S NAME (Type	Print)	· · · · ·	195	MAILING	ADDRESS /	Street	and Number	or Rural R	nute Numbe	or. City or	Town St	ite, Zip Code)
INFORMANT		0				MAILING	Abbillio	Jireer a				in, ony or	10411, 04	ле, Ер 6666,
	20a. METHOD OF				0b. PLACE C other pla		ITION (Name	e of cer	netery, crei	matory, or	20c. LO	CATION-	City or To	own, State
610.000 T10.0	Burial Donation	_	_	om State										
DISPOSITION	21a. SIGNATURE	OF FUNERAL S		NSEE OR			CENSE NUM	BER	22. NA	ME AND AD	DRESS OF	FACILITY		
EE DEFINITION	PERSON AC	TING AS SUCH	1			10	of Licensee)							
<u>+</u>	Complete items 2	3a-c only	23a. To the be	est of my kn	owledge, dea	th occurre	d at the time	e, date,	and place	stated. 23t	5. LICENSE	NUMBER		23c. DATE SIGNED
RONOUNCING Hysician only	when certifying p not available at the to certify cause o	me of death	Signature and	Titla										(Month,Day,Year
AS 24-26 MUST	24. TIME OF DE		5. DATE PRO		DEAD (Month	n Day Yeari	·			26.	WAS CAS	E BEFERR	ED TO M	DICAL EXAMINER/COR
		м									(Yes or no)		
1	arre	est, shock, or he			that caused cause on eac		. Do not en	ter the	mode of dy	ing, such as	s cardiac or	respirator	у	Approximate Interval Betwee
	IMMEDIATE CAUS disease or conditio resulting in death) Sequentially list co	est, shock, or hi E (Final on	eart failure. Li a	ist only one	cause on eac	EQUENCE	OF):	ter the	mode of dy	ing, such as	s cardiac or	respirator	у	Interval Betwee
	IMMEDIATE CAUS disease or conditio resulting in death)	est, shock, or he E (Final on ditions, mmediate ERLYING r injury	a	DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR	Cause on eac AS A CONS	EQUENCE	OF): OF):	ter the	mode of dy	ing, such as	s cardiac or	respirator	y	Interval Betwee
	IMMEDIATE CAUS disease or conditio resulting in death) Sequentially list co if any, leading to i cause. Enter UNDI CAUSE (Disease o	est, shock, or he E (Final on mmediate ERLYING r injury ts	a	DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR	cause on eac	EQUENCE	OF): OF):	ter the	mode of dy	ing, such as	s cardiac or	respirator	у	Interval Betwee
	IMMEDIATE CAUS disease or conditio resulting in death) Sequentially list co if any, leading to i cause. Enter UNDI CAUSE (Disease o that initiated even)	est, shock, or ho E (Final on mmediate ERLYING r injury ts LAST	eart failure. Li a b c d.	DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR	AS A CONS		OF): OF): OF):				88. WAS /	AN AUTOF		Interval Betwee Onset and Dea Onset and Dea Onset AutoPsy FiNi AVAILABLE PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF CA
OTHER SIDE	IMMEDIATE CAUS disease or conditio resulting in death) Sequentially list cc if any, leading to i cause. Enter UNDI CAUSE (Disease o that initiated even resulting in death) PART II. Other sig	est, shock, or hi E (Final meditions, mmediate ERLVING r injury ts LAST LAST	a	ist only one DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR	AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS but not resul	EQUENCE EQUENCE EQUENCE Iting in the	OF): OF): Underlying :	cause g	iven in Part	2	18a. WAS / PERFO (Yes o	AN AUTOF RMED? r no!	PSY 288	AVAILABLE PRIOR TI COMPLETION OF CA OF DEATH? (Yes or
OTHER SIDE	IMMEDIATE CAUS disease or conditio resulting in death) Sequentially list cc if any, leading to i cause. Enter UNDI CAUSE (Disease o that initiated even resulting in death) PART II. Other sig 29. MANNER OF (Natural	E (Final in moditions, mmediate ERLVING tast LAST DEATH Pending	a b c d ons contributi	DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR	AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS but not resul	th line. EQUENCE EQUENCE Iting in the . TIME OF INJURY	OF): OF): underlying : 30c. IN. <i>Yr</i>	cause g	iven in Part		18a. WAS / PERFO (Yes o	AN AUTOF RMED? r no!	PSY 288	AVAILABLE PRIOR TI COMPLETION OF CA OF DEATH? (Yes or
OTHER SIDE	IMMEDIATE CAUS disease or conditio resulting in death) Sequentially list cc if any, leading to i cause. Enter UNDI CAUSE (Disease o that initiated even resulting in death) PART II. Other sig	est, shock, or hi E (Final mmediate ERLVING r injury LAST LAST DEATH	a	ist only one DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR ing to death ing to death ATE OF INJ Aonth, Day, Yi	AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS but not resul	th line. EQUENCE EQUENCE EQUENCE Iting in the INJURY	OF): OF): Underlying : 30c. IN. /Yi	cause g JURY A es or no	iven in Part T WORK?	1. 2 30d. DESC	28a. WAS / PERFO (Yes o CRIBE HOW	AN AUTOF RMED? 7 roj INJURY C	PSY 28	Miterval Betwee Onset and Dea Onset and Dea Net AutoPSY FINI AVAILABLE PRIOR TI COMPLETION OF CA OF DEATH? (Yes or
CAUSE OF DEATH	IMMEDIATE CAUS disease or conditio resulting in death) Sequentially list cc if any, leading to i cause. Enter UND CAUSE (Disease o that initiated even resulting in death) PART II. Other sig 29. MANNER OF I Natural Accident Suicide 31e. CERTIFIER	E (Final in mediate ERLYING r injury LAST DEATH Pending Investigat Could not Determine	a b c d d ons contributi ion ion be d f/A	ist only one i DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR ing to death ing to death ATE OF INJ Aonth, Day, Yi Idiang, etc. (ICIAN (Phys	cause on eac AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS but not resul but not resul JURY ear) URY – At horr Specify) sician certifyii	EQUENCE EQUENCE EQUENCE Iting in the INJURY N ne, farm, si	OF): OF): Underlying : Underlying : Ya A A I death who	Cause g JURY Α΄ γ, offic	T WORK?	30d. DESC	18a. WAS A PERFO (Yes o CRIBE HOW itreet and N	AN AUTOF RMED? r no) ' INJURY C lumber or	PSY 28	b. WERE AUTOPSY FINI AVAILABLE PRIOR TI COMPLETION OF CA OF DEATH? IYes or
OTHER SIDE CAUSE DF DEATH	IMMEDIATE CAUS disease or conditio resulting in death) Sequentially list cc if any, leading to i cause. Enter UNDI CAUSE (Disease o that initiated even resulting in death) PART II. Other sig 29. MANNER OF (I Natural Accident Suicide Homicide	International and the second s	ai bi ci di di di jons contributi ioni bei di fori di fori di fori di fori di fori di fori di fori fori di fori fori di fori	ist only one ist only one DUE TO (OR ing to death ATE OF IN., <i>Annth, Day, Yi</i> wilding, etc. /	AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS but not resul JURY Gear/ Specify sician certifyind death occurre	EQUENCE EQUENCE EQUENCE Iting in the INJURY Nne, farm, si ng cause o ed due to to	OF): OF): OF): Underlying (30c, IN, (Y) M treet, factor of death whethe cause(s)	URY A ses or nα γ, offic en anoth	T WORK?	30d. DESC DCATION (S in has prono ated.	28a. WAS / PERFO (Yes o CRIBE HOW itreet and N	AN AUTOF RMED? r no) ' INJURY (lumber or	PSY 28	Interval Betwee Onset and Dea Onset and Dea Net Autopsy Finit AVALABLE PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF CA OF DEATH? (Yes or D
CAUSE OF DEATH DEATH THE SIDE	IMMEDIATE CAUS disease or conditio resulting in death) Sequentially list cc if any, leading to i cause. Enter UND CAUSE (Disease o that initiated even resulting in death) PART II. Other sig 29. MANNER OF I Natural Accident Suicide 31e. CERTIFIER (Check only)	In the second se	a b c d d ons contributi ion ion be d f/A	ist only one i DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR ing to death ATE OF INJ Aonth, Day, Yi ACE OF INJU IIIding, etc. (<u>CIAN</u> (Phys knowledge, D CERTIFY]	AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS but not resul but not resul URY – At hom (Specify) sician certifyin death occurr NG PHYSICIA	EQUENCE EQUENCE EQUENCE EQUENCE Iting in the INJURY N ne, farm, so ng cause o ed due to to N N (Physici	OF): OF): OF): Underlying Underlying treet, factor of death whethe cause(s) Tan both pro	JURY A es or no y, offic en anoth and mu	T WORK?	30d. DESC DCATION (S DCATION (S in has pronc ated.	28a. WAS / PERFO (Yes o CRIBE HOW itreet and N itreet and N bounced deau for cause oi	AN AUTOF RMED? r no) INJURY C lumber or th and com	PSY 28	Interval Betwee Onset and Dea Onset and Dea Net Autopsy Finit AVALABLE PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF CA OF DEATH? (Yes or D
CAUSE OF DEATH	IMMEDIATE CAUS disease or conditio resulting in death) Sequentially list cc if any, leading to i cause. Enter UND CAUSE (Disease o that initiated even resulting in death) PART II. Other sig 29. MANNER OF I Natural Accident Suicide 31e. CERTIFIER (Check only)	E (Final m moditions, mmediate ERLVING r injury LAST DEATH Pending Investigat Could not Determine CERT To th PRON To th MEDIC	a b c d ons contributi ion be 30e. PL Mathematical States of my OUNCING AN e best of my CAL EXAMINI	ist only one i DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR ing to death ATE OF INJ ACE O	AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS but not resul but not resul URY – At hom (Specify) sician certifyin death occurre NG PHYSICIA death occurre	th line. EQUENCE EQUENCE EQUENCE Iting in the INJURY N ne, farm, so ng cause o ed due to to N N (Physici ed et the th	OF): OF): Underlying : Underlying : Underlying : (Ya Underlying : (Ya (Ya (Ya (Ya (Ya (Ya (URY A bes or not y, offic and mun nouncin nd place	T WORK?	30d. DESC DCATION (S in has prono ated.	28a. WAS / PERFO (Yes o CRIBE HOW treet and N bounced deau to cause oi els) and ma	AN AUTOF RMED? r no) INJURY (lumber or th and com f death) rnner as st	PSY 28	biterval Betwee Onset and Dea Onset and Dea Net Autopsy Finit AVAILABLE PRIOR TC COMPLETION OF CA OF DEATH? (Yes or Do the Number, City or Town
CAUSE OF DEATH DEATH OTHER SIDE	IMMEDIATE CAUS disease or conditio resulting in death) Sequentially list cc if any, leading to i cause. Enter UND CAUSE (Disease o that initiated even resulting in death) PART II. Other sig 29. MANNER OF I Natural Accident Suicide 31e. CERTIFIER (Check only)	E (Final mmediate FRLVING r injury LAST DEATH Pending Investigat Could not DEETT Could not DEETT To th PRON To th DEDIC	a b c d d d d forms contribution forms	ist only one i DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR ing to death ATE OF INJ ACE O	AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS but not resul but not resul URY – At hom (Specify) sician certifyin death occurre NG PHYSICIA death occurre	th line. EQUENCE EQUENCE EQUENCE Iting in the INJURY N ne, farm, so ng cause o ed due to to N N (Physici ed et the th	OF): OF): Underlying : Underlying : Underlying : (Ya Underlying : (Ya (Ya (Ya (Ya (Ya (Ya (URY A bes or not y, offic and mun nouncin nd place	T WORK?) e 30f. LC her physicia anner as sta ig death and s, and due curred at th	30d. DESC DCATION (S in has prono ated.	28a. WAS / PERFO (Yes o CRIBE HOW treet and N bunced deal to cause oi (s) and man	AN AUTOF RMED? r no) INJURY (lumber or th and com f death) rnner as st	PSY 28	Interval Betwee Onset and Dea Onset and Dea Net Autopsy Finit AVALABLE PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF CA OF DEATH? (Yes or D
CAUSE OF DEATH DEATH THE SIDE	IMMEDIATE CAUS disease or conditio resulting in death) Sequentially list cc if any, leading to i cause. Enter UNDI CAUSE (Disease o that initiated even resulting in death) PART II. Other sig 29. MANNER OF (Natural Accident Suicide Homicide 31e. CERTIFIER (Check only one)	est, shock, or hu E (Final in mediate ERLYING r injury LAST DEATH Pending Investigat Could not Determine CERT To th PRON To th AND TITLE OF	a b c d d d d d d forms contribution forms contribut	ist only one i DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR ing to death ing to death ATE OF INJ ACE OF INJ ilding, etc. (ACE OF INJ ilding, etc. (ICIAN (Phys knowledge, ICICORONEF amination en	cause on eac as A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS but not resul but not resul but not resul uRY – At horr Specify sician certifyin death occurre NG PHYSICIA death occurre B d/or investiga	th line. EQUENCE EQUENCE EQUENCE Iting in the INJURY M ne, farm, su ng cause o ed due to t AN <i>(Physici</i> ad at the th	OF): OF): Underlying : Underlying : (Yet M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	JURY A ses or no y, offic en anoth nouncin nouncin nd place	iven in Part T WORK?) e 30f. LC her physicia anner as sta ug death ann a, and dus curred at th 31	30d. DESC DCATION (S In has prono ated. d certifying to the cause	28a. WAS / PERFO (Yes o CRIBE HOW treet and N bunced deal to cause oi (s) and man	AN AUTOF RMED? r no) INJURY (lumber or th and com f death) rnner as st	PSY 28	biterval Betwee Onset and Dea Onset and Dea Net and De
CAUSE OF DEATH DEATH THE SIDE	IMMEDIATE CAUS disease or conditio resulting in death) Sequentially list cc if any, leading to i CAUSE (Disease o that initiated even resulting in death) PART II. Other sig 29. MANNER OF (Natural Accident Suicide Homicide 316. CERTIFIER (Check only one) 31b. SIGNATURE	In the second se	a b c d d d d d d forms contribution forms contribut	ist only one i DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR ing to death ing to death ATE OF INJ ACE OF INJ ilding, etc. (ACE OF INJ ilding, etc. (ICIAN (Phys knowledge, ICICORONEF amination en	cause on eac as A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS but not resul but not resul but not resul uRY – At horr Specify sician certifyin death occurre NG PHYSICIA death occurre B d/or investiga	th line. EQUENCE EQUENCE EQUENCE Iting in the INJURY M ne, farm, su ng cause o ed due to t AN <i>(Physici</i> ad at the th	OF): OF): Underlying : Underlying : (Yet M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	JURY A ses or no y, offic en anoth nouncin nouncin nd place	iven in Part T WORK?) e 30f. LC her physicia anner as sta ug death ann a, and dus curred at th 31	30d. DESC DCATION (S In has prono ated. d certifying to the cause	28a. WAS / PERFO (Yes o CRIBE HOW treet and N bunced deal to cause oi (s) and man	AN AUTOF RMED? r no) INJURY (lumber or th and com f death) rnner as st	PSY 28	Interval Betwee Onset and Dea Onset and Dea Net and De
	IMMEDIATE CAUS disease or conditio resulting in death) Sequentially list cc if any, leading to i cause, Enter UNDI CAUSE (Disease o that initiated even resulting in death) PART II. Other sig 29. MANNER OF (Natural Accident Suicide 316. CERTIFIER (Check only one)	In the second se	a b c d d d d d d forms contribution forms contribut	ist only one i DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR DUE TO (OR ing to death ing to death ATE OF INJ ACE OF INJ ilding, etc. (ACE OF INJ ilding, etc. (ICIAN (Phys knowledge, ICICORONEF amination en	cause on eac as A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS AS A CONS but not resul but not resul but not resul uRY – At horr Specify sician certifyin death occurre NG PHYSICIA death occurre B d/or investiga	th line. EQUENCE EQUENCE EQUENCE Iting in the INJURY M ne, farm, su ng cause o ed due to t AN <i>(Physici</i> ad at the th	OF): OF): Underlying : Underlying : (Yet M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	JURY A ses or no y, offic en anoth nouncin nouncin nd place	iven in Part T WORK?) e 30f. LC her physicia anner as sta ug death ann a, and dus curred at th 31	30d. DESC DCATION (S In has prono ated. d certifying to the cause	28a. WAS / PERFO (Yes o CRIBE HOW treet and N bunced deal to cause oi (s) and man	AN AUTOF RMED? r no) INJURY (lumber or th and com f death) rnner as st	PSY 28	biterval Betwee Onset and Dea Onset and Dea Net and De

Figure 1. Certificate of death

Central and South American, and Other and Unknown Hispanic.

Results

Data Quality for Deaths

Since the 1950's, a number of studies have assessed the accuracy of race and Hispanic-origin information reported on death certificates (8-10). These studies generally compare race and Hispanic origin reported on the death certificate with information reported on another source, such as the censuses, surveys, or other vital records. These studies, undertaken periodically, provide snapshots of the reliability of race data. Studies have been undertaken separately for the general population, that is, persons of all ages, and for infants (children under 1 year of age), and are presented separately in this section of the report.

Results for Race, All Ages

For the general population, a number of studies have demonstrated good agreement between race reported on the death certificate and other sources for white and black decedents, but poorer consistency between the two sources for other racial groups. An early study compared race reported on a sample of about 340,000 death certificates with race for the same individual reported on the 1960 census records (8). Results are shown in two ways: agreement on a record-by-record basis and agreement of counts by race on a net basis. Although there may not be perfect agreement at the level of individual records, the aggregate counts could be very close. The study (denoted as the "census study") shows a high level of agreement-greater than 98 percent-between the two sources at the level of individual record comparisons for white and black decedents but poorer agreement for some of the numerically smaller minority groups (table 1). For example, for American Indians, agreement between the individual records was only 79.2 percent.

Comparisons made at the aggregate or net level for each race group are expressed as ratios of the census count to the death certificate count. The ratios for black and white persons are 1.0, indicating that the total number of deaths based on the two sources was about the same for these two major race groups. However, the ratio of 1.12 for American Indians indicates that 12 percent more deaths would have been classified as American Indian using the census information, compared with the race information reported on death certificates. Using the census information, 4 percent more deaths would have been reported for Japanese, 7 percent more for Chinese, and 28 percent more for Filipinos, in 1960.

The National Longitudinal Mortality Study (NLMS) has been one of the most valuable databases for evaluating the quality of race data on the death certificate (11). Initiated by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (a component of the National Institutes of Health), in collaboration with the Census Bureau and NCHS, the NLMS is a large database comprised of selected Current Population Survey (CPS) files (spanning the years 1973-85) linked to death certificates for 1979–89.¹ The linkage between the CPS and death certificates is carried out using NCHS' National Death Index (NDI).² Currently, the NLMS has a population base of 1.3 million persons and includes a total of 96,000 deaths through 1989.

As part of this review, we updated the earlier evaluation study based on the NLMS (9) by comparing race reported on death certificates for 1979-89 with responses to race questions on a total of nine of the CPS files in the NLMS database, comprising a total of more than 40,000 records.³ The race information in the CPS is reported for households in which the decedent was alive at the time of interview; the information can therefore be considered accurate and can be used as a benchmark. In contrast, race information on the death certificate is that reported by the funeral director based on responses from an informant, often a surviving family member, or based on observation by the funeral director. The ratio of the number of deaths based on the CPS to that based

on death certificates was 1.0 for both white and black decedents, indicating no net difference in race assignment (figure 2, table 1), the same as in the census study conducted more than 20 years earlier. Also, the record-by-record comparison shows a level of agreement for the two major race groups of greater than 98 percent, again about the same in both studies. In contrast, for American Indians, record-by-record agreement is much lower in the NLMS than in the earlier census study, 57 percent compared with 79 percent. Correspondingly, the ratio showing the net relationship between the two data sources for American Indians was 1.37 based on the NLMS study, much higher than in the earlier study (1.12). This means that almost 40 percent more persons were identified as American Indians in the CPS than on the corresponding death certificates. The increase in the ratio between the 1960 study and the present study is consistent with the large increase in self-reporting of American Indians between the 1960 and the 1990 censuses, described below. For Asian or Pacific Islanders, the ratio was 1.13 in the NLMS.

²The National Death Index (NDI) is a central computerized index of death record information, which contains a standard set of identifying information for each death in the United States. The information is provided to NCHS by State vital statistics records offices to assist researchers in identifying and locating death records in the State offices. The NDI is widely used to establish if individuals in a study have died and to identify the State office from which the certificate can be acquired for matching purposes.

³The files that were included were the following: the CPS files for March 1979, April 1980, August 1980, December 1980, March 1981, March 1982, March 1983, March 1984, and March 1985; excluded were the files of February 1973, March 1973, Census 1980 (File 8024), and September 1985 because of incomplete matches or other technical considerations.

¹The Current Population Survey (CPS) is a monthly survey comprised of about 60,000 U.S. households. Carried out by the Census Bureau, the survey asks questions about labor force participation for the Bureau of Labor Statistics and is the source of national unemployment figures. During certain months of the year, the survey asks supplemental questions on various social, demographic, and economic topics. The CPS results are published in the Census Bureau's *Current Population Report* series.



Figure 2. Ratio of Current Population Survey deaths to death certificate deaths by race and origin: United States, 1979–89

Comparisons of race reporting were also made in the 1986 National Mortality Followback Survey (NMFS)(10). The NMFS was a sample of 18,733 decedents with oversampling for selected causes of death, black persons, and persons under 55 years of age. A questionnaire was mailed to the death certificate informant, usually the decedent's next of kin, to ascertain the same information as asked on the death certificate, as well as additional information about the circumstances of the decedent. The NMFS provides a measure of the reliability of the race initially reported; however, the NMFS cannot be regarded as an independent source, because in many cases the informant is the same person who initially provided race information to the funeral director to be recorded on the death certificate. Ratios for NMFS were as follows: for white persons, 0.99; black persons, 0.99; American Indians, 1.22; and Asian or Pacific Islanders,

1.12. (The ratios for American Indians and Asian or Pacific Islanders are subject to considerable sampling variation because of the small numbers in the sample.) Using a design and sample size similar to that of the 1986 survey, an NMFS was carried out again in 1993. Preliminary ratios from the 1993 NMFS for race are as follows: white persons, 0.93; black persons, 0.97; and American Indians, 1.10.

A study conducted by the Indian Health Service (IHS) compared the race of patients in IHS health care facilities or IHS-funded health care during 1986–88 with the race reported on death certificates (12). Underreporting of American Indian or Alaska Native for all areas combined was 11 percent but varied greatly among the States. Because the IHS patient population group is a specialized group, it is difficult to generalize the results to the total United States; however, the results are instructive in showing considerable geographic variation in reporting reliability.

Results for Hispanic Origin, All Ages

The NLMS also provides information on the reliability of Hispanic origin reported on the death certificate (9). On the basis of 12 of the CPS files matched to death certificates for the years 1979-85 for the 21 States that had a Hispanic-origin item on their death certificates, record-by-record agreement for Hispanic origin was 89.7 percent (11) (table 1, figure 2). The ratio of the number of deaths reported as Hispanic origin on the CPS divided by deaths reported as Hispanic on the death certificate was 1.07, indicating net underreporting on death certificates. For the specified Hispanic groups, the ratios were 1.11 for Mexicans, 1.04 for Puerto Ricans, 1.07 for Cubans, and 0.89 for Other Hispanic Persons. Because of small sample size, these ratios are characterized by considerable sampling variability.

Results for Infants

Infant mortality rates as traditionally produced in vital statistics also are subject to bias resulting in part from misreporting race and Hispanic origin (3). These biases have been observed and measured in a large number of studies that compare race and Hispanic origin data reported on the death certificate with that reported on the birth certificate for the same infant (2,13–17). Race and Hispanic origin of the mother and father is considered to be more reliable on the birth certificate, as it is usually reported by the mother at the time of delivery (information on the race of the infant is not obtained on the birth certificate) (16). In contrast, race and Hispanic origin of a deceased infant are reported by a funeral director based on information supplied by an informant, such as a parent, or, in the absence of an informant, based on observation.

An additional source of bias in traditional infant mortality rates by race and Hispanic origin is related to the

different referent in the numerator and denominator of the rates. The numerator of the rates is the total number of infant deaths in a specified group, and the denominator is the total number of live births in that group. However, the numerator of the traditional rate refers to the race or Hispanic origin of the infant, but the denominator refers to the race or Hispanic origin of the mother. Ideally, the race or Hispanic origin in the numerator and denominator would be classified in the same way, either as that of the infant or that of the mother. The two sources of bias in traditional infant mortality rates-misreporting on the death certificate and different referents in numerator and denominator-are both addressed in an alternative and preferred data set for infant mortality based on linking infant death records with their corresponding birth records (14–17). In the linked file, each infant death record is linked to its corresponding birth record, such that the race or Hispanic origin of the mother reported on the birth certificate can also be ascribed to the infant death, thereby ensuring consistency of reporting in the numerator and denominator of the rates and correcting for both sources of bias in the traditional rates. Comparing infant mortality rates from these two sources (the linked and traditional files) makes it possible to assess the comparability of the traditional infant mortality rates by race and Hispanic origin (table 2, table 3, and figure 3) with rates from the linked file considered the standard. Data for the race comparisons were combined for the years 1995 and 1996 to create more robust estimates of infant mortality for the Asian and Pacific Islander races, because the number of infant deaths in a given year for some of these groups is quite small.

A measure of comparability of the rates by race and Hispanic origin is the ratio of the infant mortality rates from the linked file to that of the traditional mortality file. A ratio of 1.0 would mean that the rates calculated from the two files were exactly the same. A ratio exceeding 1.0 is an indication that the traditional file underestimates the rate; a ratio of less than 1.0 indicates that the traditional file overestimates the rate. Table 2 shows comparisons between the



Figure 3. Ratio of linked to traditional infant mortality rates by race and Hispanic origin: United States, 1995–96

two sources by race; table 3 presents comparisons by Hispanic origin. The ratio for white infants is 1.0; for black infants, 0.97, indicating a good net correspondence in race from the two sources. However, for American Indians, the ratio is 1.14, indicating that rates where race is based on the linked file are 14 percent higher than those based on the traditional file. Ratios among specific population groups of Asian Americans varied greatly. Underestimates were greatest for Japanese infants, with a ratio of 2.04, indicating that infant mortality rates based on the linked file are more than twice as high as those based on the traditional file. The ratios for Filipinos were 1.68, and for Chinese, 1.21. The ratio for Hawaiians was 0.85, indicating a higher rate based on the traditional file, possibly because on death records on which Hawaiian was reported in combination with another race, coding procedures always give preference to Hawaiian.

Table 3 shows comparisons for infant mortality rates for Hispanic origin for 1996 based on the linked and traditional files. For total Hispanic-origin infants, the ratio was 1.05, indicating that rates are about 5 percent higher using the linked file. For Mexican and Cuban infants, the rates were about the same (ratios of 1.00 and 1.02, respectively), but rates for Puerto Rican infants were 12 percent higher when Hispanic origin was based on the linked file.

Results for Race, Age, and Sex

Data from the NLMS permit an assessment of variations in the reliability of race reporting by age and sex. Results using data for the nine CPS files matched against death certificates for 1979–89 are shown in table 4. By gender for all ages combined, the ratios are 1.0 for black and white males and females, but for American Indians, the ratios are much larger for males (1.53) than for females (1.15). A similar pattern occurs for Asian or Pacific Islanders, with ratios of 1.15 and 1.10, respectively. However, the ratios for American Indians and Asian or Pacific Islanders are based on very small numbers and are therefore subject to considerable sampling variation.

By age, the ratios are close to 1.0 for black and white decedents across the age spans, indicating little variation in reporting bias by age. For American Indians and Asian or Pacific Islanders, the ratios vary by age but, being based on very small numbers, are subject to considerable sampling variation.

Data Quality for Population

Problems of validity and reliability of race and Hispanic-origin reporting can also arise from errors in population counts and estimates that comprise the denominator of death rates. As in the case of errors in counts of deaths, bias can result from either misclassification or from undercoverage. For deaths, the problem of undercoverage is very small, because death registration in the United States is virtually complete (2), so the problem is essentially that of misclassification. In contrast, for population counts and estimates, the problem is one of undercoverage, especially for the minority groups, where it can have important consequences for death rates. Undercoverage in the census affects even death rates for the white and black population, where race misclassification on the death certificates has been demonstrated to be small.

The Census Bureau has a long tradition of using statistical and demographic methods to evaluate the coverage of the population census. Among these is the postenumeration survey, a 1990 survey of a large sample of the population, stratified by census region, division, race, place/size, housing tenure/age, and sex, and completed by September following the April 1990 census enumeration (18). Records from the postenumeration survey were compared with census records to ascertain accuracy and completeness of coverage in the census.

Problems of census coverage are expressed in terms of "net census undercount" (2,18). Coverage ratios by age, race, and sex for the 1990 census are shown in table 5. A ratio of less than 1.0 indicates a net census undercount. and over 1.0, a net census overcount. In general, females were more completely enumerated in the 1990 census than males; the white population was more completely enumerated than the black population; and the younger population was more completely counted than the extreme elderly (persons 85 years of age and over). Underenumeration was serious among black males 25-34 years of age, who, with a ratio of 0.87, were undercounted by 13 percent. Undercounting was serious in other age groups for black males; for black females, coverage was generally better than for black males. For white males and females, reporting was better than for their black counterparts, but for all groups, underreporting was serious for the elderly, that is, for persons age 85 years and over. Among white males,

undercounting of 5 percent occurred for the age group 25–34 years.

Using the postenumeration survey, the Census Bureau has made estimates of undercounting among population subgroups (18) (figure 4). The estimated undercount for non-Hispanic white and other in the 1990 census was 0.7 percent; black persons, 4.6 percent; Hispanic persons, 5.0 percent; Asian and Pacific Islanders, 2.4 percent; and American Indians living on Federal Indian reservations, 12.2 percent. Further, studies have shown that self-identification of American Indians in successive censuses has changed over time. About 33 percent of the growth in the American Indian population between 1960 and 1990 has been attributed to increased self-reporting as American Indian. Studies have shown that this finding differs by geographic area and by educational attainment (19,20). The increase in self-reporting of American Indian is reflected in the change in the ratio that shows the discrepancy between death certificate and



Figure 4. Ratio of census-level population to population adjusted for net census undercount by race and Hispanic origin, 1990

census/CPS reporting between the 1960 study and the current NLMS results (table 1).

Consequences for Rates

Results show that reporting of race for white and black decedents on death certificates is highly reliable for both infants and the population of all ages. Generally speaking, misclassification in numerator data (deaths), taken alone, results in death rates that are too small. In contrast, the undercoverage problem in the denominator data (population) tends to have the opposite effect: it biases rates upward. Thus, biases from misclassification of race and Hispanic origin in the numerator and denominator work in opposite directions, one tending to deflate rates, the other to inflate them. Consequently, a comprehensive estimate of death rates by race and Hispanic origin should take into account the offsetting reporting biases in the numerator and denominator. The approximate effects of reporting bias and undercoverage are illustrated in table 6 by making the simplifying assumption that the ratios and percent undercoverage previously reported for all age groups combined are the same as for individual age groups by race and Hispanic origin.⁴ This is accomplished in table 6 by multiplying the reported age-adjusted death rates by a single ratio that corrects for the combination of bias in reporting on the death certificate as well as undercoverage in the census. Results are summarized in table A in a comparison of the reported age-adjusted death rates (rates are per 100,000 standard population) and rates adjusted for reporting bias in the numerator and undercoverage in the denominator.

Table A. Reported and adjusted death rates and percent differences: 1996

[Rates are per 100,000 U.S. standard population; see table 6]

	Reported	Adjusted	Percent difference (adjusted/reported)
White	466.8	462.1	-1.0
Black	738.3	701.4	-5.0
American Indian, including			
Aleuts and Eskimos	456.7	550.6	20.6
Asian or Pacific Islander	277.4	307.2	10.7
Hispanic	365.9	371.9	1.6

After adjustment, the white age-adjusted death rate is only 1 percent lower than the rate reported in the official vital statistics (5), reflecting the effect of adjusting for slight undercoverage of the white population in the 1990 census. The adjusted rate for black persons, in contrast, is about 5 percent lower than the official rate, reflecting adjustment for census undercount for this population group. For American Indians, adjusted rates are 21 percent higher than reported rates, reflecting the combined effects of misclassification in the numerator and undercoverage in the denominator. These adjustments change the relative level of mortality between the American Indian and white populations: Although the unadjusted American Indian rates are lower, the adjusted rates are higher than those of the white population. The Asian or Pacific Islander rates are 11 percent higher than the reported rates when adjusted. For Hispanic persons, the rates are increased by only 1.6 percent by adjustment, and they remain about 20 percent below those of the white population.

Although the adjusted death rates give the order of magnitude of the joint effect of race/Hispanic origin misclassification on the death certificate and undercount in the census, they are approximations. More precise estimates would require estimates of net census undercount by age and sex for other minority groups, and ultimately these need to be available by geographic areas, such as regions and States, because of possible geographic variation in census coverage. An additional consideration is whether the adjustment factors in the numerator and denominator are constant or whether they change over time for population groups other than American Indians.

Further, are the NLMS factors used to adjust the numerator, which are based on deaths during 1979–89, applicable to deaths occurring in 1996? Are the factors used to adjust for undercount based on the 1990 census applicable to the 1996 population? Clearly, research is needed to address these issues.

Race misclassification and undercoverage in the census affect life expectancies, which are based on death rates. Thus, if life expectancy for all population groups combined for 1990 were adjusted for net census undercount, overall life expectancy would increase from 75.4 years to 75.6, that is, by 0.2 years (2). For the black population, life expectancy would increase by 0.6 years, and for the white population, by 0.2years. The difference in life expectancy between the white and black populations would be reduced from 4.9 to 4.5 years in 1990. Comparable life-table data have not been published for Hispanic persons and other minority groups because of the data-quality issues that have been described.

Discussion and Conclusions

The quality, reliability, and accuracy of death rates by race and Hispanic origin vary among population groups. This review shows that, generally, death rates are reliable for the white and black populations. Results of evaluations for white and black persons from 1960 to the present show a high degree of consistency, on a net basis, between race reported on death certificates and independent sources such as surveys. To the extent that there are validity problems for white and black death rates, they tend to result from problems

⁴More precise estimates could be generated if estimates for net census undercount by age and sex were available for racial/ethnic groups other than black and white (table 5). Taking age-specific net census undercount explicitly into account results in slightly different adjusted death rates for white persons (459.1) and black persons (712.6), and percent differences (adjusted/reported) of -1.6and -3.5, respectively. Taking gender and age into account, differences are larger for black males (-7.4 percent) than white males (-2.3), and for white females (-1.5) than black females (-1.1).

in the denominator data, that is, population counts and estimates that are too small because of undercoverage of these groups, particularly young black and white males and elderly persons. The overall effect of black and white undercounts does not seriously distort analysis or interpretation of the resulting mortality data (2). However, for the other minority population groups, levels of mortality are seriously biased from misreporting in the numerator and undercoverage in the denominator of the death rates. In addition, although misreporting of age on death certificates and in the census is known to vary by race, this topic was considered outside the scope of this review (21-25).

Results of the evaluation studies have implications for official vital statistics. First, these results give confidence in death rates for the black and white populations. Second, they indicate a pressing need to make data users fully aware of the limitations of death rates for the smaller minority populations. This can be accomplished by placing cautions in prominent locations in tables and text. Further, the evaluation study results raise the question of whether official, routinely produced vital statistics should be adjusted for reporting biases. This is not current NCHS practice in part because information is not available for making adjustments in the numerator and denominator of rates at a requisite level of detail. Estimates of bias would be needed by age, race, and sex (and possibly other sociodemographic variables, such as occupation, industry, marital status, and educational attainment), at not only the national but also the State and possibly sub-State level.

Known biases in rates have implications for goal-setting exercises such as *Healthy People*, in which death rates are widely used in setting health objectives and monitoring progress toward these objectives. In the goals being formulated for *Healthy People* 2010, it is likely that greater detail will be shown for race and Hispanic origin than in previous versions of *Healthy People* (4). A variety of options needs to be explored to determine how to make users aware of reporting biases in death rates for the smaller minority groups or whether to adjust objectives to take into account reporting biases. If adjustments are made to death rates to correct for bias, data users must be alerted that the adjusted figures differ from the official vital statistics as currently produced.

Users also need to be cognizant of the potential impact of changes in collecting and tabulating race resulting from changes in Federal guidelines. Over the next several years, major changes will occur in the way Federal agencies collect and tabulate data on race and Hispanic origin. When collecting race and ethnicity data, Federal programs must adhere to guidelines from the U.S. Office of Management and Budget, which were revised in October 1997 (26). The major difference between the current and new guidelines is the adoption of data-collection procedures in which respondents can identify with more than one race group. The Census Bureau will implement the new guidelines with the 2000 census. Other Federal programs, including vital statistics, must implement them no later than 2003. This radical departure will probably result in data discontinuities. Implications for the census characterization of the population by race and ethnicity and for vital statistics cannot be predicted; full understanding will require targeted evaluations. NCHS and the Census Bureau are to develop a research plan to investigate the effect of these changes.

Finally, can ameliorative steps be taken to improve race reporting on death certificates? Because information is collected by funeral directors near the time of death or shortly after death, the timing is not propitious for in-depth inquiries to family members or other informants about the race and ethnicity of the decedent. A development that may augur improvement is the increased use of "pre-need" arrangements for funerals and burials, where circumstances are less emotional and better information may be secured.

Death rates by race and Hispanic origin are important for monitoring the health status of these population groups and for informing policies and programs directed to reducing disparities. Wide gaps in information remain. We need to know if reporting bias in the numerators varies by geographic area or by sociodemographic variables, such as educational attainment and marital status, and whether the ratios are relatively constant over time. We need additional information on net census undercount, including estimates by age and gender for population groups other than white and black, and we need to determine the applicability of factors based on census years such as 1990 to the years after the census. We also need to assess the impact of age misstatement on death certificates and in the census on death rates by race and Hispanic origin.

Targeted database development, research, and evaluation are needed to fill these knowledge gaps, to provide an adequate database for understanding the quality of mortality statistics by race and Hispanic origin, and to better communicate the strengths and weaknesses of this information.

References

- National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States, 1998 With Socioeconomic Status and Health Chartbook. Hyattsville, Maryland: 1998.
- National Center for Health Statistics, Vital Statistics of the United States, mortality, technical appendix. Washington: Public Health Service. 1995.
- Peters KD, Kochanek KD, Murphy SL. Deaths: Final Data for 1996. National Vital Statistics Reports, vol 47 no 9. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1998.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Healthy People 2000 Review, 1997. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1997.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Health United States, 1990. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1991.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. U.S. population estimates by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1980–91. Current Population Reports; Series P-25, No. 1095. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1993.
- Hoyert DL, Kung HC. Asian or Pacific Islander mortality, selected states, 1992. Monthly vital statistics report; vol 46

no 1, supp. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1997.

- Hambright TZ. Comparability of marital status, race, nativity, and country of origin on the death certificate and matching census record: United States, May–August 1960. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(34). 1969.
- Sorlie PD, Rogot E, Johnson NJ. Validity of demographic characteristics on the death certificate. Epidemiology 3(2): 181–4. 1992.
- Poe GS, Powell-Griner E, McLaughlin JK, et al. Comparability of death certificate and the 1986 National Mortality Followback Survey. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(118), 1993.
- Rogot E, Sorlie PD, Johnson NJ, Schmitt C. A Mortality Study of 1.3 Million Persons by Demographic, Social, and Economic Factors: 1979–1985 Follow-Up. U.S. National Longitudinal Mortality Study. NIH Publication No. 92–3297. National Institutes of Health. July 1992.
- Indian Health Service. Methodology for Adjusting IHS Mortality Data for Inconsistent Classification of Race-Ethnicity of American Indians and Alaska Natives between State Death Certificates and IHS Patient Registration Records. Final Report of Consultant (Contract No. 282–91-0053). November 1996.
- Frost F, Shy KK. Racial differences between linked birth and infant death records in Washington State. Am J Public Health 70:974–6. 1980.
- Kleinman JC. Infant mortality among racial/ethnic minority groups, 1983–1984. MMWR 39(22–3). July 1990.
- MacDorman MF, Atkinson JO. Infant mortality statistics from the 1996 period linked birth/infant death data set. Monthly vital statistics report; vol 46 no 12, supp. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1998.
- Prager K. Infant mortality by birthweight and other characteristics: United States, 1985 birth cohort. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 20(24). 1994.
- Prager K. The NCHS pilot project to link birth and infant death records: State 1. Public Health Rep 102(2):216–23. 1987.
- Hogan H. The 1990 Post-enumeration survey: operations and results. J Am

Stat Assoc 88(423):1047-60. 1993.

- Passel JS. The growing American Indian population, 1960–1990: Beyond demography. Population Research and Policy Review 16(1–2):11–31. 1997.
- Eschbach K, Supple K, Snipp CM. Changes in racial identification and the educational attainment of American Indians, 1970–1990. Demography 35(1):35–43. 1998.
- 21. Rosenwaike I, Hill ME. The accuracy of age reporting among elderly African Americans: evidence of a birth registration effect. Research on Aging 18:310–24. 1996.
- 22. Coale AJ, Kisker EE. Defects in data on old-age mortality in the United States: New procedures for calculating mortality schedules and life tables at the highest ages. Asian and Pacific Population Forum 4(1). 1990.
- Coale AJ, Kisker EE. Mortality crossovers: reality or bad data? Population Studies 40:389–401. 1986.
- 24. Kestenbaum B. A description of the extreme aged population based on improved Medicare enrollment data. Demography 29:565–580. 1992.
- Preston SH, Elo IT, Rosenwaike I, Hill ME. African-American mortality at older ages: results of a matching study. Demography 33:193–209. 1996.
- 26. Office of Management and Budget. Revisions of the standards for the classification of Federal data on race and ethnicity; notices. Federal Register. Thursday, October 30, 1997.

Table 1. Percent agreement between number of deaths from death certificates and from census and Current Population Survey files, by race and Hispanic origin, and ratio of number of deaths: 1960 census and the National Longitudinal Mortality Study

	19	60 census	NLMS ³ 1979–1985/1989		
- Race ¹ or Hispanic origin ²	Percent agreement	Ratio of census to death certificate	Percent agreement	Ratio of CPS ⁴ to death certificate	
White	99.8	1.00	99.8	1.00	
Black	98.2	1.00	98.6	1.00	
American Indian ⁵	79.2	1.12	57.4	1.37	
Asian or Pacific Islander			82.5	1.13	
Japanese	97.0	1.04			
Chinese	90.3	1.07			
Filipino	72.6	1.28			
Hispanic			89.7	1.07	
Mexican			84.9	1.11	
Puerto Rican			85.9	1.04	
Cuban			80.0	1.07	
Other Hispanic			47.6	0.89	
Non-Hispanic			99.8	1.00	

- - - Data not available.

¹NLMS race data are for nine CPS files and for deaths occurring 1979-89.

²NLMS Hispanic-origin data are for 12 CPS files and for deaths occurring 1979–85 for selected states.

³National Longitudinal Mortality Survey.

⁴Current Population Survey.

⁵Includes Aleuts and Eskimos.

Table 2. Infant mortality rates from the traditional and linked files, and ratios of the rates, by race: United States, 1995–96

	Infant morta		
Race	Traditional file	Ratio of linked to traditional file	
All races	7.5	7.4	0.99
White	6.2	6.2	1.00
Black	14.9	14.4	0.97
American Indian ¹	8.3	9.5	1.14
Asian or Pacific Islander	4.1	5.2	1.27
Chinese	2.9	3.5	1.21
Japanese	2.3	4.7	2.04
Hawaiian	7.2	6.1	0.85
Filipino	3.4	5.7	1.68
Other Asian or Pacific Islander	4.8	5.6	1.17

¹Includes Aleuts and Eskimos.

Table 3. Infant mortality rates from the traditional and linked files, and ratios of the rates, by Hispanic origin: Total of 49 States and the District of Columbia, 1996

	Infant mort			
Origin	Traditional file	Linked file	- Ratio of linked to traditional file	
All origins ¹	7.3	7.4	1.01	
Total Hispanic	5.9	6.2	1.05	
Mexican	5.9	5.9	1.00	
Puerto Rican	7.8	8.7	1.12	
Cuban	5.1	5.2	1.02	
Other Hispanic ²	5.3	5.9	1.11	
Non-Hispanic total ³	7.6	7.7	1.01	
Non-Hispanic white	6.1	6.2	1.02	
Non-Hispanic black	14.7	14.4	0.98	

¹Includes Hispanic origin not stated.

²Includes Central and South American and other and unknown Hispanic.

³Includes races other than white and black.

NOTE: Data exclude Oklahoma, which did not have a question on Hispanic origin on its death certificate.

Table 4. Ratio of deaths from Current Population Survey to deaths from death certificate by age, race, and sex, and number of deaths from the two sources: United States, 1979–89

Race, sex, and source of data	Total	Under 25 years	25–44 years	45–54 years	55–64 years	65–74 years	75 years and over
				Ratios ¹			
/hite	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Male	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Black	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.01	1.01
Male	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.01	1.01
Female	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.01	1.01	1.00
American Indian ²	1.37	1.46	1.17	1.45	1.37	1.31	1.49
Male	1.53	1.43	1.10	1.74	1.76	1.57	1.66
Female	1.15	*1.55	*1.43	*1.01	1.03	1.02	1.30
sion or Decific Islandor ³	1 1 2	1.46	1 17	1.06	1.06	1 17	1.00
Nsian or Pacific Islander ³	1.13	1.46	1.17	1.06	1.06	1.17	1.09
Male	1.15	*1.26	1.26	1.12	0.98	1.17	1.15
Female	1.10	*1.78	1.09	0.95	1.23	1.17	1.02
				Number of deaths	4		
Vhite	50.050		0.422	4.6.17	10.000		
CPS ⁵	52,056	1,454	3,123	4,345	10,003	15,140	17,991
Death certificate	52,209	1,471	3,136	4,361	10,037	15,180	18,024
CPS ⁵	28,403	1,020	2,035	2,669	6,132	8,644	7,903
Death certificate	28,510	1,030	2,046	2,686	6,153	8,671	7,924
Female							
CPS ⁵	23,653	434	1,088	1,676	3,871	6,496	10,088
Death certificate	23,699	441	1,090	1,675	3,884	6,509	10,100
lack							
CPS ⁵	5,960	281	650	772	1,353	1,567	1,337
Death certificate	5,945	283	654	777	1,354	1,552	1,325
Male	0,010	200	001		1,001	1,002	1,020
CPS ⁵	3,142	186	374	407	775	817	583
Death certificate	3,136	188	376	409	778	810	575
Female	0.040	05	070	205	570	750	754
CPS ⁵	2,818	95	276	365	578	750	754
Death certificate	2,809	95	278	368	576	742	750
American Indian ²							
CPS ⁵	425	64	60	58	92	85	66
Death certificate	348	55	54	44	72	72	51
Male							
CPS ⁵	261	49	37	41	51	50	33
Death certificate	207	44	35	29	36	38	25
Female	-			-			
CPS ⁵	164	15	23	17	41	35	33
Death certificate	141	11	19	15	36	34	26
aion or Pagifia Islandar ²							
sian or Pacific Islander ² CPS ⁵	540	28	61	66	103	128	154
Death certificate							
Male	498	21	56	62	98	113	148
CPS ⁵	331	17	32	46	62	85	89
Death certificate	298	17	32 28	40	62 60	85 74	89
Female	290	13	20	41	00	74	62
CPS ⁵	209	11	29	20	41	43	65
Death certificate							66
	200	8	28	21	38	39	00

* Ratio is unreliable because either the unweighted number of CPS deaths or the unweighted number of death certificate deaths or both are based on fewer than 20 deaths.

¹Ratios based on weighted data for nine CPS files.

³Includes Chinese, Filipino, Hawaiian, Japanese, and other Asian or Pacific Islander.

⁴Numbers based on unweighted data.

⁵Current Population Survey.

NOTE: Caution should be exercised in using ratios by age for American Indians and Asian or Pacific Islanders because of variability of the ratios, which are based on small numbers of deaths.

²Includes Aleuts and Eskimos.

Table 5. Ratio of census-level resident population to resident population adjusted for estimated net census undercount, by age, sex, and race: April 1, 1990

		All races		White			Black			
Age	Both sexes	Male	Male Female		Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	
All ages	0.98	0.97	0.99	0.98	0.97	0.98	0.94	0.92	0.97	
0–4 years	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.92	0.91	0.92	
5–14 years	0.97	0.98	0.98	0.97	0.98	0.97	0.94	0.94	0.94	
15–24 years	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.00	1.01	1.00	0.98	0.97	0.99	
25–34 years	0.96	0.95	0.98	0.96	0.95	0.98	0.91	0.87	0.96	
35–44 years	0.98	0.97	1.00	0.98	0.97	0.99	0.94	0.89	0.98	
45–54 years	0.98	0.96	0.99	0.98	0.96	0.99	0.93	0.88	0.98	
55–64 years	0.98	0.96	1.00	0.98	0.97	1.00	0.95	0.89	1.01	
65–74 years	1.00	0.98	1.01	0.99	0.98	1.00	1.02	0.97	1.06	
75–84 years	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	
85 years and over	0.94	0.96	0.93	0.95	0.97	0.94	0.85	0.88	0.83	

Table 6. Reported age-adjusted death rates and rates adjusted for reporting bias and undercoverage, by race and Hispanic origin: United States, 1996

[Age-adjusted rates per 100,000 U.S. standard population]

Race and origin	Reported age-adjusted rate ¹	1979–89 NLMS ratio ²	1990 census undercount ratio ³	Combined ratio ⁴	Rates adjusted for reporting bias and estimated census undercount ⁵	Race ratio ⁶ before adjustment	Estimated race ratio ⁶ after adjustment
White	466.8	1.00	0.99	0.99	462.1	1.00	1.00
Black	738.3	1.00	0.95	0.95	701.4	1.58	1.52
American Indian ⁷	456.7	1.37	0.88	1.21	550.6	0.98	1.19
Asian or Pacific Islander ⁸	277.4	1.13	0.98	1.11	307.2	0.59	0.66
Hispanic	365.9	1.07	0.95	1.02	371.9	0.78	0.80

¹Rates published in (3).

²The National Longitudinal Mortality Study (NLMS) race ratios based on weighted data for nine Current Population Survey (CPS) files; NLMS ratios are the ratio of CPS-weighted number of deaths for a race group divided by the death certificate-weighted number of deaths for the corresponding race group. The ratio for Hispanic persons was based on 12 CPS files for selected States for 1979–85. ³The census-undercount ratio is based on the ratio of the 1990 resident census-level population to the resident population adjusted for net census undercount [based on Hogan (18)].

⁴The combined ratio is the product of multiplying the NLMS ratio by the census undercount ratio.

⁵Adjusted rate is the product of reported rate times combined ratio.

⁶Race ratio is the ratio of a rate for a race or origin group to the rate for the white population.

⁷Includes deaths among Aleuts and Eskimos.

⁸Includes deaths among Chinese, Filipino, Hawaiian, Japanese, and other Asian or Pacific Islanders.

NOTE: The NLMS ratios, the census undercount ratios, and the age-adjusted rates are subject to variability and/or biases. Therefore, caution should be exercised in interpreting the results based on these ratios.

Vital and Health Statistics series descriptions

- SERIES 1. **Programs and Collection Procedures**—These reports describe the data collection programs of the National Center for Health Statistics. They include descriptions of the methods used to collect and process the data, definitions, and other material necessary for understanding the data.
- SERIES 2. Data Evaluation and Methods Research—These reports are studies of new statistical methods and include analytical techniques, objective evaluations of reliability of collected data, and contributions to statistical theory. These studies also include experimental tests of new survey methods and comparisons of U.S. methodology with those of other countries.
- SERIES 3. Analytical and Epidemiological Studies—These reports present analytical or interpretive studies based on vital and health statistics. These reports carry the analyses further than the expository types of reports in the other series.
- SERIES 4. **Documents and Committee Reports**—These are final reports of major committees concerned with vital and health statistics and documents such as recommended model vital registration laws and revised birth and death certificates.
- SERIES 5. International Vital and Health Statistics Reports—These reports are analytical or descriptive reports that compare U.S. vital and health statistics with those of other countries or present other international data of relevance to the health statistics system of the United States.
- SERIES 6. **Cognition and Survey Measurement**—These reports are from the National Laboratory for Collaborative Research in Cognition and Survey Measurement. They use methods of cognitive science to design, evaluate, and test survey instruments.
- SERIES 10. Data From the National Health Interview Survey—These reports contain statistics on illness; unintentional injuries; disability; use of hospital, medical, and other health services; and a wide range of special current health topics covering many aspects of health behaviors, health status, and health care utilization. They are based on data collected in a continuing national household interview survey.
- SERIES 11. Data From the National Health Examination Survey, the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, and the Hispanic Health and Nutrition Examination Survey— Data from direct examination, testing, and measurement on representative samples of the civilian noninstitutionalized population provide the basis for (1) medically defined total prevalence of specific diseases or conditions in the United States and the distributions of the population with respect to physical, physiological, and psychological characteristics, and (2) analyses of trends and relationships among various measurements and between survey periods.
- SERIES 12. Data From the Institutionalized Population Surveys— Discontinued in 1975. Reports from these surveys are included in Series 13.
- SERIES 13. Data From the National Health Care Survey—These reports contain statistics on health resources and the public's use of health care resources including ambulatory, hospital, and long-term care services based on data collected directly from health care providers and provider records.

- SERIES 14. Data on Health Resources: Manpower and Facilities— Discontinued in 1990. Reports on the numbers, geographic distribution, and characteristics of health resources are now included in Series 13.
- SERIES 15. Data From Special Surveys—These reports contain statistics on health and health-related topics collected in special surveys that are not part of the continuing data systems of the National Center for Health Statistics.
- SERIES 16. Compilations of Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics—Advance Data Reports provide early release of information from the National Center for Health Statistics' health and demographic surveys. They are compiled in the order in which they are published. Some of these releases may be followed by detailed reports in Series 10–13.
- SERIES 20. **Data on Mortality**—These reports contain statistics on mortality that are not included in regular, annual, or monthly reports. Special analyses by cause of death, age, other demographic variables, and geographic and trend analyses are included.
- SERIES 21. Data on Natality, Marriage, and Divorce—These reports contain statistics on natality, marriage, and divorce that are not included in regular, annual, or monthly reports. Special analyses by health and demographic variables and geographic and trend analyses are included.
- SERIES 22. Data From the National Mortality and Natality Surveys— Discontinued in 1975. Reports from these sample surveys, based on vital records, are now published in Series 20 or 21.
- SERIES 23. Data From the National Survey of Family Growth— These reports contain statistics on factors that affect birth rates, including contraception, infertility, cohabitation, marriage, divorce, and remarriage; adoption; use of medical care for family planning and infertility; and related maternal and infant health topics. These statistics are based on national surveys of women of childbearing age.
- SERIES 24. Compilations of Data on Natality, Mortality, Marriage, Divorce, and Induced Terminations of Pregnancy— These include advance reports of births, deaths, marriages, and divorces based on final data from the National Vital Statistics System that were published as supplements to the *Monthly Vital Statistics Report* (MVSR). These reports provide highlights and summaries of detailed data subsequently published in *Vital Statistics of the United States*. Other supplements to the MVSR published here provide selected findings based on final data from the National Vital Statistics System and may be followed by detailed reports in Series 20 or 21.

For answers to questions about this report or for a list of reports published in these series, contact:

Data Dissemination Branch National Center for Health Statistics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 6525 Belcrest Road, Room 1064 Hyattsville, MD 20782-2003 (301) 436–8500 E-mail: nchsquery@cdc.gov Internet: www.cdc.gov/nchswww/

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Health Statistics 6525 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, Maryland 20782-2003

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300 STANDARD MAIL (A) POSTAGE & FEES PAID CDC/NCHS PERMIT NO. G-284